

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/804,408	03/12/2001	Mathew F. Ogle	1416.20US01	1108
75	10/02/2002			
Peter S. Dardi			EXAMINER	
Patterson, Thuente, Skaar & Christensen, P.A. 4800 IDS Center			NAFF, DAVID M	
80 South 8th Street Minneapolis, MN 55402-2100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1651	
			DATE MAILED: 10/02/2002	9

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No. Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/804408 Ogle at
——————————————————————————————————————	Examiner Group Art Unit
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address
Period for Reply	2
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO THIS COMMUNICATION.	O EXPIREMONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default	1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. A expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication . State, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	To2.
☐ This action is FINAL .	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 193	t for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in 35 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
$\sqrt{\frac{1-28+34-37}{\text{Claim(s)}}}$	is/are pending in the application.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
□ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
(Claim(s) 1-28+34-37	is/are rejected.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
	are subject to restriction or election
Application Papers	requirement.
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin	ig Review, PTO-948.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is □ approved □ disapproved.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	ted to by the Examiner.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority use □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Numbers of the CERTIFIED copies of the CERTIFI	the priority documents have been er)
☐ received in this national stage application from the Inte	
*Certified copies not received:	
Attachment(s)	1 filed rollulas
	lo(s) □ Interview Summary. PTO-413
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper N	,
Attachment(s) Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper N Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892 Notice of Draftsparson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-94	□ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-15

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No. 7

Page 2 Application Number: 09/804,408 Art Unit: 1651 In a response of 7/15/02 to a restriction requirement of 6/17/02, applicants elected Group I claims 1-28 and 34-37, and canceled nonelected claim 29-33. Claims examined on the merits are 1-28 and 34-37 which are all claims in the application. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112: The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention. Claims 1-28 and 34-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first 10 paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The claims are confusing and unclear how the linkers and bridge 15 molecules differ chemically. Does being chemically different mean that the linkers and bridge molecules have different structures or does it mean that they differ chemically in some other way such as being different in solubility. Claims 34-37 are confusing and unclear as to the meaning and scope of "modified tissue" and "modified sites". When a tissue is modified and has modified sites would be relative and subjective. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action: (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the 25 differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in 30 which the invention was made.

Application Number: 09/804,408

Art Unit: 1651

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-28 and 34-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ogle et al (5,958,669) in view of Yang et al (5,935,168).

10

The claims are drawn to tissue containing linkers bonded to tissue and bridge molecules bonded between two or more of the linkers, and to methods of preparing the tissue.

Ogle et al disclose crosslinking tissue to fix tissue by reacting the tissue with glutaraldehyde.

Yang et al disclose crosslinking tissue with glutaraldehyde and then reacting with a diamine (claims 8-10).

After reacting with glutaraldehyde as disclosed by Ogle et al, it would have been obvious to react with a diamine as suggested by Yang et al. Bridges as required by the present claims would inherently result.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications

25 from the examiner should be directed to David M. Naff whose telephone

number is (703) 308-0520. The examiner can normally be reached on

Monday-Thursday and every other Friday from about 8:30 AM to about 6:00

PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, a message can be left on voice mail.

Application Number: 09/804,408

Art Unit: 1651

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mike Wityshyn, can be reached at telephone number (703) 308-4743.

The fax phone number is (703) 872-9306 before final rejection or (703) 872-9307 after final rejection.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

10

DAVID M. NAFF
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 12857

DMN 10/1/02